Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 323 (1987) 353-370 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

SYNTHESIS AND X-RAY STRUCTURES OF SOME HOMONUCLEAR μ-ALKYLIDENETUNGSTEN COMPLEXES

A. PARLIER, M. RUDLER, H. RUDLER*

Laboratoire de Chimie Organique, UA 408, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, T45, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05 (France)

and J.C. DARAN

Laboratoire de Chimie des Métaux de Transition, UA 608, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, T45, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05 (France)

(Received November 11th, 1986)

Summary

The complexes $W_2\{[\mu-CHCH=C(CH_3)_2][(CO)_{10}]\}$ and $W_2\{[\mu-CHCH=C(CH_3)(CH_2)_3CH_3][(CO)_{10}]\}$ have been synthesized, and an X-ray diffraction study has revealed the presence of five CO groups on each metal center. The analogy between $W_2\{[\mu-CHCH=C(CH_3)_2][(CO)_9]\}$ and a complex of $W(CO)_4$ and a tungstabutadiene $(CO)_5W=CHCH=C(CH_3)_2$ prompted the synthesis of the first heteroatom-substituted μ -alkylidene complexes of tungsten, starting from conjugated Fischer-type carbene complexes $(CO)_5W=C(OR)CH=CHR$. The X-ray structure of the complex $W_2\{[\mu-C(OEt)CH=CH(CH_3)][(CO)_9]\}$ has also been determined. In the case of the simplest conjugated complex $(CO)_5W=C(OR)CH=CH_2$, an interesting rearrangement initiated by addition of $W(CO)_5$ to the terminal C=C double bond giving a dinuclear complex $W(CO)_5[\eta^2-CH_2=CHC(OMe)W(CO)_5]$ in which the two metal centers are not directly linked, has been observed.

Introduction

Since the discovery of the first μ -methylene complex of manganese by Herrmann [1], much work has been described on the synthesis and chemistry of μ -alkylidene complexes of transition metals. Several methods, extensively reviewed, are now available for the synthesis of such species [2-4]. One of the most interesting and efficient approaches to the problem is that by Stone and his coworkers [5]. This approach is based on the isolobal analogy developed by Hoffmann [6], between organic groups and metal-ligand fragments.

Stone and his coworkers found that Fischer-type carbene complexes react in the same way as alkenes with low-valent platinum complexes, to give heterodinuclear





(3:R = H) (4:R = H)



 μ -alkylidene complexes, as shown in eq. 1. Our approach to the problem of synthesizing μ -alkylidenetungsten complexes bearing a heteroatom on the bridging carbon atom was rather similar [10] and followed the serendipitous synthesis of the first μ -alkylidenetungsten complex (2); it is possible to describe this complex as a complex of W(CO)₄ and of a metal-ligand, here a tungstadimethylbutadiene (eq. 2) [7]. We therefore explored the application of this analogy to the synthesis of μ -alkylidene complexes of the general structure 7 in which M = W, Fe and M' = W, Fe and the bridging carbon atom bears an alkoxy group, as shown in eq. 3.

The purpose of the present paper is therefore to describe first, the revised structure of complex 2, and second the synthesis of the first μ -alkylidenetungsten complexes, the dinuclear analogues of the Fischer-type mononuclear carbene complexes, starting from mononuclear carbene complexes **6**.

Synthesis

Complexes 2 and 5 were synthesized as described previously starting from $(CO)_5W=C(OMe)Me$ and MeLi or BuLi (eq. 4) [8,9]. The synthesis of complexes of the type 7, where M = M' = W, started from conjugated complexes; some of these had been described previously [11], whereas others were synthesized by a general route derived in our laboratory [12]. Two methods were used to generate coordinatively unsaturated $W(CO)_4$: (a) the photochemical decomposition of $W(CO)_6$, at room temperature and (b) the thermal decomposition of $(CO)_5W=C(Ph)_2$. We had previously observed that this complex reacts with dienes such as 1,5-cyclooctadiene (COD) to give inter alia, $W(CO)_4(COD)$ [13].

Complex 9 was found to react with 12, at 60 °C, in hexane, to give the purple dinuclear complex 13 ($R = CH_3$), in 20% yield (eq. 8). The same complex was



	Solvent	C ₂ H	C3H	C5H		OCH ₂ X
9	C ₆ D ₆	7.3	6.57	1.82		4.55 (X = H)
10	CDCl ₃	7.4	5.72			4.6 $(X = H)$
	5		5.25			. ,
13	C_6D_6	5.05	3.05	1.75		3.15 (X = H)
11	CDCl ₃	5.55	3.60			$4.40 (X = CH_3)$
15	C ₆ D ₆	4.33	2.64			3.90 (X = H)
			1.88			
		C(1)	C(2)	C(3)	C(5)	OCH ₃
9	C ₆ D ₆	307.2	149.4	136.8	18.4	78.9
13	$\tilde{C_6D_6}$	231.1	88.6	68.4	20.0	82.0
11	CDCl ₃	311.1	90.9	47.8		67.7

TABLE 1 IMPORTANT ¹H AND ¹³C NMR DATA FOR THE NEW COMPLEXES (δ , ppm)

obtained in 30% yield by irradiation of $W(CO)_6$ in the presence of 9 (eq. 9). Complex 13 gives a mass spectrum characteristic of dinuclear complexes of tungsten with the molecular ion at 718 followed by ions due to the successive loss of 9 CO groups. Comparison of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the starting complex 9 with those of complex 13 reveal a general upfield shift in the latter of the signals of both the hydrogens and the carbons of the double bond (Table 1). Moreover, the signal of the carbene function which appears at 307 ppm in 9 is shifted to 231 ppm, a shift consistent with literature data on bridging carbon atoms. An X-ray diffraction study confirmed the nature of 13. An ORTEP view of its structure is shown in Fig. 4.

In the case of complex 10, the reaction was somewhat more complex. Thermal decomposition of complex 12, in the presence of complex 10, did give complex 14; in addition we observed the formation of two new complexes, which could be separated by silica gel chromatography at low temperature (eq. 10). The least polar complex, isolated as a purple-black oil, was assigned structure 14 on the basis of its mass spectrum and its ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1). The next complex eluted was 11, which was isolated as orange crystals. Its mass spectrum indicated that it also contains two metal centers and 10 CO groups, as well as the organic ligand of the





starting complex 10. The ¹H NMR spectrum shows the presence of an ethoxy group and of a coordinated double bond. There is, however, a striking difference between complex 14 and complex 11 in the ¹³C NMR spectrum, complex 11 showing a signal at 311 ppm characteristic of a heteroatom-substituted Fischer-type carbene complex. Consequently, a structure in which the two metal centers are not directly linked and in which the double bond of the starting complex 10 is coordinated to a second W(CO)₅ group was assigned to complex (11), and this was confirmed by an X-ray diffraction study (Fig. 3).

The last complex eluted, obtained as a yellow oil, was assigned structure 15 on the basis of its mass spectrum, which shows the presence of one $W(CO)_5$ moiety, and of its ¹H NMR spectrum, which shows signals due to a coordinated double bond (Table 1), an ethoxy group, and to ten aromatic protons.

Whereas complexes 11 and 15 are stable in solution at room temperature, complexes 13 and 14 slowly regenerate the starting complexes 9 and 10. In the case of complexes 16 and 17, no reaction was observed under our standard conditions.

Discussion

As expected, conjugated carbene complexes react with coordinatively unsaturated $W(CO)_4$ moieties to give heteroatom-substituted μ -alkylidene complexes.

Whether this reaction occurs via a multi-step process is difficult to assess. It is conceivable that the mononuclear complex first reacts with $W(CO)_5$ to give an unstable intermediate, in which the carbene function is bound to $W(CO)_5$, and which then loses CO upon coordination of the C=C double bond, as in the known

transformation $2 \rightarrow 3$ (eq. 11). Another possibility, which seems more likely, involves coordination of W(CO)₅ to the terminal C=C double bond followed by the coordination of the W=C double bond, as shown in eq. 12. Such a process does indeed take place during the decomposition of complex 10, which leads to 11; 11, in turn slowly gives 14 upon UV irradiation (eq. 12).



A noteworthy observation, is the instability of complexes of type 8, which contrasts with the stability of complexes of type 3: this observation has recently been nicely explained by Goddard [14] in terms of the donor/acceptor bonding prefered by electronegative substituted carbene complexes, which means that, as observed, W^0 complexes of type 6 should be more stable than those of type 7, and complexes of type 4 more stable than those of type 7.

Also of interest is the formation of complexes 11 and 15: as far as complex 11 is concerned, we were able to show that this complex is slowly formed when complex 10 is kept in solution at room temperature. It is likely that 10 reacts with $W(CO)_5$, itself formed by the decomposition of 10. Such a reaction has also been observed by Fischer [15] in the case of a benzylidene complex of tungsten (eq. 13).

$$(CO)_{5}W = C \xrightarrow{H} \xrightarrow{W(CO)_{5}} (CO)_{5}W \xrightarrow{W(CO)_{5}} (13)$$

$$(18) \xrightarrow{Ph} (19)$$

The formation of complex 15 could be firmally represented as the result of the coupling of the organic moieties of carbene complexes 10 and 12, a type of reaction which is well documented for carbene complexes. The fact that complexes 16 and 17 give only traces of the expected dinuclear complexes can be ascribed to electronic and steric factors.

Comments on the structures of complexes 2, 5, 11 and 13

A structure in which each metal center bears four CO groups was previously assigned to complex 2 on the basis of an X-ray analysis [8]. As the mass spectrum and the chemical behaviour of complex 2, especially the ready transformation $2 \rightarrow 3$, were not consistent with this structure, a new X-ray diffraction study was carried out on complex 2. A similar study was also undertaken on complex 5, a dinuclear complex of the same type obtained from methylmethoxytungstacarbene by use of BuLi instead of MeLi, under the best experimental conditions, to check carefully the number of CO groups on each metal center. These studies established clearly the



Fig. 1. ORTEP projection for complex 2.

presence of 10 CO groups and therefore the previously assigned structure for 2, with



8 CO groups, was incorrect. The new structure is fully consistent with the observed experimental data. The ORTEP projections for 2 and 5 appear in Fig. 1 and 2. The W-W distances in complexes 2, 5 and 13 (3.1450, 3.1354 and 3.150 Å) can be compared with the corresponding metal-metal distances in complexes 19 and 20 (3.118 and 3.156 Å) [15,16]. The W(1)-C(1)-W(2) angles (87.6 and 87.1°) can also be compared with the corresponding values in complexes 19 and 20 (86.4 and 90.1°). In complex 11, the two metal centers are not directly linked. An interesting feature is the geometry of the W=C double bond with respect to the C=C double bond: these conjugated double bonds are no longer coplanar, the torsional angle between their two planes being 25°.



Fig. 2. ORTEP projection for complex 5.



Fig. 3. ORTEP projection for complex 11.



Fig. 4. ORTEP projection for complex 13.

X-ray studies

In each case preliminary unit cell dimensions and symmetry information were derived from precession and Laue photographs. The crystal was then set up on an automatic diffractometer and the accurate cell dimensions and orientation matrix were obtained from least-squares refinements of the setting angles of 25 well defined reflections.

Crystal data and data collection parameters are listed in Table 2. Intensities of standard reflections showed no change during data collection. Corrections were made for Lorentz and polarization effects. For compounds 2 and 5, the absorption correction was applied by use of an empirical correction technique [17], whereas a calculated absorption correction was applied in the case of compounds 11 and 13 [18]; transmission factors ranged from 0.125 to 0.238 for 11 and from 0.047 to 0.347 for 13. Computations were performed by using the CRYSTALS system [19] adapted for a VAX 11/725 computer for compounds 2 and 5, and the SHELX76 system [20] for the other two compounds. Atomic scattering factors for neutral W, O, C and H were taken from the international Tables for Crystallography [21]; anomalous dispersion for W atoms was taken into account.

The positions of W atoms were derived by Harker vector analysis of three dimensional Patterson maps. All remaining non-hydrogen atoms were found from successive electron density maps. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were fixed at their calculated positions (C-H 0.96 Å); only H atoms attached to carbon atoms C(1) and C(2) in compound 2 were located on a

Compound	2	5	11	13
Empirical formula	$C_{15}H_{B}O_{10}W_{2}$	C18H15O10W2	C ₁₄ H ₆ O ₁₁ W ₂	C14H8O10W2
Formula wt.	715.9	759.0	717.9	703.9
Crystal system	orthorhombic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	P212121	$P2_1/c$	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/c$
a (Å)	7.081(3)	7.054(5)	9.899(2)	8.593(2)
b (Å)	16.375(2)	22.674(6)	14.381(1)	13.342(3)
c (Å)	16.570(3)	13.801(3)	14.370(4)	15.947(4)
β (deg)		91.36(6)	112.65(2)	90.90(2)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	1921(1)	2207(2)	1887.9(9)	1828.1(8)
Z	4	4	4	4
crystal size (mm)	0.48×0.15×0.09	0.39×0.2×0.17	0.2×0.18×0.14	$0.55 \times 0.3 \times 0.8$
μ (Mo- K_a) (cm ⁻¹)	127.3	110.9	129.6	133.8
ρ calcd. (g cm ⁻³)	2.47	2.28	2.53	2.56
Radiation	Mo-K _a (0.71069)	Mo-K _a	$Mo-K_a$	Mo-Ka
Diffractometer	Nonius CAD4	Nonius CAD4	Philips PW1100	Philips PW1100
Monochromator	graphite	graphite	graphite	graphite
Scan range θ (deg)	1.2 ± 0.345 tg θ	1.2+0.345tg <i>θ</i>	$0.9 \pm 0.345 \text{tg}\theta$	$1.2 \pm 0.345 \text{tg}\theta$
Scan speed s (deg s^{-1})	0.017 < s < 0.092	0.017 < s < 0.092	0.03	0.03
Scan type	$\omega - 1.67\theta$	$\omega - 2\theta$	ω-2θ	$\omega - 2\theta$
Standard reflections	2, every hour	2, every hour	3, every 3 hours	3, every 3 hours
Reflections measured	hkl	– hkl, hkl	– hkl, hkl	– hkl, hkl
Reflections collected	3010	4547	3617	1890
Reflections merged	2685	3880	3094	1778
Reflections used	2101	2502	2664	1671
Criteria	$I > 2\sigma(I)$	$I > 2\sigma(I)$	$F > 3\sigma(F)$	$F > 2\sigma(F)$
2θ range (deg)	$3 < 2\theta < 56$	$3 < 2\theta < 50$	$4 < 2\theta < 50$	$4 < 2\theta < 40$
$R = \sum F_{0} - F_{c} /$				
$\Sigma F_{o} $	0.0201	0.0482	0.0520	0.0280
$R_{\rm w} = [\Sigma w (F_{\rm o} - F_{\rm c})^2 /$				
$\sum w(F_{\rm o})^2]^{1/2}$	0.0212	0.0550	0.0391	0.0316
Weighting scheme	1.0	1.0	$1.86/\sigma^{2}(F_{o})$	$0.23/\sigma^{2}(F_{o})$
Shift/esd	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.1

TABLE 2

CRYSTAL DATA FOR COMPLEXES 2, 5, 11 AND 13

difference Fourier map and their coordinates refined. They were all an assigned isotropic thermal parameter of 0.05. Refinement was by least squares with a large-block approximation to the normal matrix for compounds 2 and 5; full matrix least squares were used for 11 and 13. The criteria for a satisfactory completed analysis were the ratio of the parameter shifts to standard deviations (Table 2) and no significant features in the final difference map.

Lists of thermal parameters and observed and calculated structure factors are available from the authors. Atomic coordinates are given in Table 3 and bond lengths and bond angles in Table 4, for compounds 2, 5, 11 and 13.

Experimental

All reactions were carried out in oven-dried glassware under argon. Benzene, diethyl ether (Et₂O), and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were distilled from LiAlH₄. Preparative column chromatography was performed with 70–230 mesh Merck silica

(Continued on p. 365)

x/a z/cAtom y/bCompound 2 0.79796(2) 0.82856(2) W(1) 0.66127(6) 0.66389(2) 0.69890(2) W(2) 0.75658(6) 0.7035(5) C(1) 0.793(1)0.8017(5)C(2) 0.704(1) 0.8526(7) 0.6414(6) 0.786(2) 0.9082(5) 0.5934(6) C(3) 0.5948(8) 0.990(2)0.9279(8) C(4) 0.669(2)0.9524(6)0.5316(6) C(5) 0.184(5) 0.202(5) 0.56(1) H(1) 0.90(1) 0.159(6) 0.141(6) H(2) C(11) 0.564(2)0.8527(6) 0.9298(6) 0(11) 0.505(2)0.8827(5)0.9859(5) 0.739(2)0.9141(6) 0.8079(6) C(12) O(12) 0.781(2)0.9808(5) 0.7981(5) C(13) 0.405(2) 0.8205(7)0.7764(6) O(13) 0.265(1) 0.8357(6) 0.7494(5) C(14) 0.924(2)0.7797(6) 0.8799(6) 0.7730(6) 0.9088(5) O(14) 1.064(1)C(15) 0.545(2) 0.7009(6) 0.8874(6) O(15) 0.478(2)0.6542(5) 0.9299(5) 0.789(2) 0.5569(6) 0.6431(6) C(21) 0.4958(5) 0.806(2)0.6098(6) O(21) C(22) 0.886(2)0.7022(7)0.5967(6) 0.7216(5) O(22) 0.955(1) 0.5374(5)0.496(2) 0.6798(7) 0.6484(6) C(23) O(23) 0.351(1) 0.6859(6) 0.6212(5)C(24) 1.011(2) 0.6488(6) 0.7553(6) O(24) 1.151(1) 0.6393(5) 0.7867(5) 0.5843(6) 0.7789(7) C(25) 0.637(2) O(25) 0.580(2)0.5316(4) 0.8158(6) Compound 5 0.30780(8) W(1) 0.11487(2)0.02337(4) W(2) 0.26203(7) 0.23709(2) -0.08082(4)C(1) 0.182(2)0.1437(7) -0.123(1)-0.2080(9)C(2) 0.269(2) 0.1161(6) C(3) 0.155(3) 0.0916(7) -0.284(1)C(31) -0.062(3)0.093(1) -0.288(2)0.0609(8) -0.361(2)C(32) 0.255(4)-0.358(2) -0.0062(8)C(33) 0.201(4)-0.438(2)0.302(4)-0.039(1)C(34) C(35) 0.250(4) -0.105(1)-0.432(2)C(11) 0.362(3) 0.0539(8) 0.126(1) O(11) 0.390(3) 0.0194(6) 0.183(1)0.201(2)0.0437(8)-0.048(1)C(12) O(12) 0.138(2)0.0010(5)-0.0830(9)0.1014(7) C(13) 0.565(2) -0.037(1)O(13) 0.714(1)0.0948(6) -0.0674(9)0.094(1) C(14) 0.051(2)0.1291(7)O(14) -0.080(2)0.1343(7)0.132(1)C(15) 0.450(2) 0.1632(7)0.128(1) O(15) 0.529(2) 0.1836(6) 0.1912(9)

TABLE 3 FRACTIONAL ATOMIC COORDINATES WITH STANDARD DEVIATIONS

continued

TABLE	3 (conti	inued	I)
-------	-----	-------	-------	----

Atom	x/a	y/b	z/c
C(21)	0.271(2)	0.3220(7)	-0.113(1)
O(21)	0.267(2)	0.3711(6)	-0.137(1)
C(22)	0.136(2)	0.2370(8)	-0.215(1)
O(22)	0.066(2)	0.2412(7)	- 0.2860(9)
C(23)	0.524(2)	0.2223(7)	-0.143(1)
O(23)	0.665(1)	0.2176(6)	-0.1760(9)
C(24)	0.007(2)	0.2461(8)	-0.014(1)
0(24)	-0.141(2)	0.2510(7)	0.0172(9)
C(25)	0.395(2)	0.2718(6)	0.01/2(7)
O(25)	0.459(2)	0.2963(6)	0.1026(9)
Compound 13			
W(1)	0.75653(7)	0.12709(4)	0 35816(4)
$\mathbf{W}(2)$	0.31945(7)	0.31811(4)	0.33661(4)
C	0.654(1)	0.2371(9)	0.33001(4)
O(1)	0.05 - (1)	0.2199(7)	0.417(1)
C(2)	0.090(1)	0.3100(7)	0.414(0)
C(2)	0.317(2)	0.213/(9)	0.4314(3)
	0.472(2)	0.2003(9)	0.500(1)
C(4) C(11)	0.829(2)	0.360(1)	0.445(1)
	0.796(2)	0.210(1)	0.255(1)
0(11)	0.821(1)	0.254(1)	0.1983(9)
C(12)	0.725(2)	0.040(1)	0.462(1)
O(12)	0.712(1)	-0.0063(8)	0.5220(8)
C(13)	0.963(2)	0.156(1)	0.455(1)
O(13)	1.085(1)	0.1665(8)	0.5058(8)
C(14)	0.559(2)	0.090(1)	0.255(1)
O(14)	0.450(1)	0.0692(8)	0.1929(8)
C(15)	0.842(2)	0.019(1)	0.309(1)
O(15)	0.885(2)	-0.0452(9)	0.281(1)
C(21)	0.389(2)	0.2944(9)	0.223(1)
O(21)	0.422(1)	0.2847(7)	0.1560(7)
C(22)	0.220(2)	0.362(1)	0.429(1)
0(22)	0.163(1)	0.3904(9)	0.480(1)
C(23)	0.194(2)	0.197(10	0.301(1)
O(23)	0.132(1)	0.1312(9)	0.285(1)
C(24)	0.449(2)	0 436(1)	0 375(1)
O(24)	0.512(1)	0.5074(8)	0.3943(8)
C(25)	0 159(2)	0 383(1)	0.228(1)
O(25)	0.061(1)	0.4192(9)	0.1647(9)
Compound 11			
W(1)	0.26417(5)	-0.04132(3)	0.34198(3)
W(2)	0.14611(5)	0.09149(3)	0.19059(3)
cui	0.232(1)	-0.0702(8)	0.2051(8)
on	0.3658(9)	-0.1098(6)	0 1725(5)
c	0.095(1)	-0.0745(7)	0.1506(9)
C(3)	-0.051(1)	-0.0406(7)	0.1796(8)
C(4)	0 367(1)		0.0062(0)
~~ ~) C(5)	-0.182(1)		0.0702(7)
C(11)	-0.102(1)	- 0.0334(9)	0.113(1)
Q11) Q(11)	0.311(1)	-0.193(1)	0.3307(9)
	0.000(2)	-0.2/48(7)	0.3292(7)
J(12)	0.039(2)	-0.0657(8)	0.3772(9)
U(12)	-0.085(1)	-0.0773(7)	0.4017(7)
L(13)	0.490(2)	- 0.0060(9)	0.3078(8)
U(13)	0.608(1)	0.0175(7)	0.2888(7)

Atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	
C(14)	0.246(1)	0.103(1)	0.386(1)	
O(14)	0.246(1)	0.1783(8)	0.4170(7)	
C(15)	0.325(2)	-0.069(1)	0.461(1)	
O(15)	0.363(2)	-0.0895(8)	0.5292(8)	
C(21)	0.035(1)	0.1567(9)	0.100(1)	
O(21)	-0.034(1)	0.1933(7)	0.0433(7)	
C(22)	0.325(1)	0.0880(9)	0.1093(9)	
O(22)	0.425(1)	0.0922(7)	0.0645(7)	
C(23)	0.255(1)	0.218(1)	0.2254(9)	
O(23)	0.317(1)	0.2911(7)	0.2440(7)	
C(24)	-0.023(1)	0.1357(9)	0.2711(9)	
O(24)	-0.108(1)	0.1669(7)	0.3177(7)	

TABLE 3 (continued)

gel, and preparative (PLC) and analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) with Merck G60 silica gel.

NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL C 60HL or FX-90 spectrometer or on a Bruker WM 250 or WM 500 spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded with a Beckman 4240 spectrophotometer, and mass spectra with a Kratos MS 3P. Melting points were determined on a Reichert Köfler block, and are uncorrected.

μ -Alkylidene complex 5

A solution of BuLi (1.8 N, 10 mmol) in hexane was added to a solution of pentacarbonyl(methylmethoxycarbene)tungsten(0) (4.0 g, 10 mmol) in Et_2O (100 ml), at -40 °C. The mixture was stirred for 10 min, then CF₃COOH (1.14 g, 10 mmol) was added, followed by water and then aqueous NaHCO₃. The usual work up gave a red oil which was chromatographed on SiO₂. Elution with light petroleum first gave a dark red oil of complex 3 (193 mg, 5%) as a 1/3 mixture of two isomers. Recrystallization from hexane gave red crystals of one isomer, m.p. 65 °C, suitable for an X-ray analysis, followed by starting material contaminated with complex 3 (2.5 g).

Complexes **5a** and **5b**: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, C_6D_6) δ (ppm): **5a**: 10.5 (1H, d, J 13 Hz, C(1)H), 6.78 (1H, d, J 13 Hz, C(2)H), 2.42 (2H, t, J 3 Hz, C(4)H₂), 1.77 (3H, d, J 1.1 Hz, CH₃), 1.29 (m, 4H, C(5)H₂ and C(6)H₂), 0.88 (3H, t, J 7.1 Hz, CH₂CH₃). **5b**: 10.32 (1H, J 13 Hz, C(1)H), 6.77 (1H, d, J 13 Hz, C(2)H), 2.06 (2H, d, J 7.5 Hz, C(4)H₂), 1.84 (3H, d, J 1.3 Hz, CH₃), 1.29 (4H, m, C(5)H₂ and C(6)H₂), 0.85 (3H, t, J 7.1 Hz, CH₂CH₃).

Pentacarbonyl(vinylmethoxycarbene)tungsten(0) (10)

Vinyllithium (20 mmol) (prepared from tetravinyltin and phenyllithium in Et₂O) was added to $W(CO)_6$ (7 g, 20 mmol) in Et₂O (250 ml), at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the residue taken up in water (100 ml). The solution was filtered through glass wool to remove residual $W(CO)_6$ then 1 equivalent of Me₃OBF₄ was added. Extracting with light petroleum, followed by chromatography of the extract on silica gel first gave complex **10** (800 mg, 10%) as a red oil.

(Continued on p. 369)

TABLE 4

INTERATOMIC DISTANCES (Å) AND BOND ANGLES (°), WITH e.s.d.'s IN PARENTHESES

Compound 2			
W(1) - W(2)	3.1450(5)	C(2)-C(3)	1.34(1)
W(1)-C(1)	2.273(8)	C(3)-C(4)	1.48(2)
W(1)-C(11)	2.02(1)	C(3)-C(5)	1.50(1)
W(1) - C(12)	2.01(1)	C(11)-O(11)	1.13(1)
W(1)-C(13)	2.05(1)	C(12)-O(12)	1.14(1)
W(1)-C(14)	2.07(1)	C(13)-O(13)	1.11(1)
W(1)-C(15)	2.04(1)	C(14)-O(14)	1.10(1)
W(2) - C(1)	2,273(9)	C(15)-O(15)	1.14(1)
W(2) - C(21)	1.99(1)	C(21) - O(21)	1.15(1)
W(2) - C(22)	2.03(1)	C(22)-O(22)	1.14(1)
W(2) - C(23)	2.04(1)	C(23) - O(23)	1.13(1)
W(2) - C(24)	2.04(1)	C(24) - O(24)	1.13(1)
W(2) - C(25)	2.04(1)	C(25)-O(25)	1.13(1)
C(1) - C(2)	1.47(1)		
	••••(-)		
C(11)-W(1)-W(2)	161.6(2)	C(23)-W(2)-C(22)	91.6(4)
C(11)-W(1)-C(1)	152.1(4)	C(24)-W(2)-W(1)	87.8(3)
C(12)-W(1)-W(2)	119.0(3)	C(24)-W(2)-C(1)	90.3(3)
C(12)-W(1)-C(1)	72.9(4)	C(24)-W(2)-C(21)	90.2(5)
C(12)-W(1)-C(11)	79.3(4)	C(24)-W(2)-C(22)	91.1(4)
C(13)-W(1)-W(2)	91.6(3)	C(24)-W(2)-C(23)	177.0(4)
C(13)-W(1)-C(1)	88.5(4)	C(25)-W(2)-W(1)	85.0(3)
C(13)-W(1)-C(11)	88.2(4)	C(25)-W(2)-C(1)	131.2(4)
C(13)-W(1)-C(12)	90.1(5)	C(25)-W(2)-C(21)	77.7(4)
C(14)-W(1)-W(2)	89.3(3)	C(25)-W(2)-C(22)	158.1(4)
C(14)-W(1)-C(1)	90.6(3)	C(25)-W(2)-C(23)	88.5(5)
C(14)-W(1)-C(11)	91.6(4)	C(25)-W(2)-C(24)	89.4(5)
C(14)-W(1)-C(12)	87.7(5)	W(2)-C(1)-W(1)	87.6(3)
C(14)-W(1)-C(13)	177.8(4)	C(2)-C(1)-W(1)	118.7(6)
C(15)-W(1)-W(2)	82.5(3)	C(2)-C(1)-W(2)	119.5(6)
C(15)-W(1)-C(1)	128.5(3)	C(3)-C(2)-C(1)	127.9(10)
C(15)-W(1)-C(11)	79.1(4)	C(4)-C(3)-C(2)	124.3(10)
C(15)-W(1)-C(12)	158.5(4)	C(5)-C(3)-C(2)	119.3(10)
C(15)-W(1)-C(13)	89.1(5)	C(5)-C(3)-C(4)	116.3(10)
C(15)-W(1)-C(14)	93.0(5)	O(11)-C(11)-W(1)	178.2(11)
C(21) - W(2) - W(1)	162.7(3)	O(12)-C(12)-W(1)	178.0(12)
C(21)-W(2)-C(1)	151.1(4)	O(13)-C(13)-W(1)	177.2(12)
C(22)-W(2)-W(1)	116.9(3)	O(14)-C(14)-W(1)	177.1(10)
C(22)-W(2)-C(1)	70.7(4)	O(15)-C(15)-W(1)	169.8(8)
C(22)-W(2)-C(21)	80.4(4)	O(21)-C(21)-W(2)	178.7(11)
C(23)-W(2)-W(1)	89.8(3)	O(22)-C(22)-W(2)	177.2(10)
C(23)-W(2)-C(1)	89.4(4)	O(23)-C(23)-W(2)	177.7(11)
C(23)-W(2)-C(21)	91.5(5)	O(24)-C(24)-W(2)	179.1(9)
		O(25)-C(25)-W(2)	170.1(9)
C			
Compound 5	2 1254(9)	C(12) $O(12)$	1 17(7)
W(1) = W(2) W(1) = C(12)	2.1224(0) 2.02(7)	C(15) O(14)	1,17(4)
W(1) = C(13) W(1) = C(14)	2.03(2) 2.11(2)	C(11) = O(14)	1,14(4)
W(1) = C(14)	2.11(2)	C(11) = O(13)	1.1.5(2)
w(1) = U(12) w(1) = U(15)	2.03(2)	C(24) = O(21)	1.11(2)
W(1) = C(15)	2.00(2)	C(22) = O(22)	1.14(z) 1 10(2)
w(1) = U(11)	2.01(2)	C(25) = O(25)	1.10(2)
W(1)-C(1)	2.20(1)	U(23)-U(24)	1.11(2)

TABLE 4 (continued)

W(2) - C(23)	2.08(2)	C(21)-O(25)	1.16(2)
W(2) - C(24)	2.05(2)	$\dot{\mathbf{C}}(1) - \dot{\mathbf{C}}(2)$	1.48(2)
W(2) - C(22)	2.03(1)	C(2) - C(3)	1.42(2)
W(2)-C(25)	2.05(1)	C(3) - C(31)	1.53(3)
W(2) - C(21)	1.98(2)	C(3) - C(32)	1.47(3)
W(2) - C(1)	2,27(1)	C(32) - C(33)	1.57(2)
C(13)-O(11)	1.15(2)	C(33)-C(34)	1.52(2)
C(14)-O(12)	1.08(2)	C(34)-C(35)	1.54(2)
C(14) - W(1) - C(13)	176.4(6)	C(1) - W(2) - C(23)	87.8(6)
C(12) - W(1) - C(13)	90.3(6)	C(1) - W(2) - C(24)	89.6(6)
C(12) - W(1) - C(14)	92.0(6)	C(1) - W(2) - C(22)	70.3(6)
C(15) - W(1) - C(13)	86.9(6)	C(1) - W(2) - C(25)	132.5(5)
C(15) - W(1) - C(14)	90.0(6)	$\dot{C}(1) - W(2) - C(21)$	149.4(6)
C(15) - W(1) - C(12)	159.3(6)	O(11) - C(13) - W(1)	177.0(13)
C(11) - W(1) - C(13)	91.5(7)	O(12) - C(14) - W(1)	177.2(16)
C(11) - W(1) - C(14)	86.1(7)	O(13) - C(12) - W(1)	175.2(13)
C(11) - W(1) - C(12)	81.8(7)	O(14) - C(15) - W(1)	172.0(14)
C(11) - W(1) - C(15)	77.8(7)	O(15) - C(11) - W(1)	178.9(17)
C(1) - W(1) - C(13)	90.7(6)	O(21) - C(23) - W(2)	176.2(14)
C(1) - W(1) - C(14)	92.7(6)	O(22) - C(24) - W(2)	175.4(13)
C(1) - W(1) - C(12)	70.4(6)	O(23) - C(22) - W(2)	174.8(16)
C(1) - W(1) - C(15)	130.0(6)	O(24) - C(25) - W(2)	172 6(14)
C(1) - W(1) - C(11)	152.1(6)	O(25) - C(21) - W(2)	175 3(16)
C(24) - W(2) - C(23)	175.8(6)	W(2) = C(1) = W(1)	87 1(5)
C(22) - W(2) - C(23)	89.9(6)	C(2) - C(1) - W(1)	114 9(10)
C(22) - W(2) - C(24)	92.3(6)	C(2) - C(1) - W(2)	119.6(10)
C(25) - W(2) - C(23)	90.2(6)	C(3) - C(2) - C(1)	120 6(15)
C(25) = W(2) = C(24)	89.2(6)	C(31) = C(3) = C(2)	125.0(15)
C(25) = W(2) = C(24)	157 2(6)	C(32) = C(3) = C(2)	116 5(19)
C(21) = W(2) = C(23)	91 6(7)	C(32) = C(3) = C(31)	110.5(19) 118 $4(19)$
C(21) = W(2) = C(24)	97 3(7)	C(32) = C(32) = C(31)	108 4(6)
C(21) = W(2) = C(22)	79 1(7)	C(34) = C(33) = C(32)	100.4(0)
C(21) - W(2) - C(25)	78 1(7)	C(35) - C(34) - C(33)	109.5(0)
	,())	0(55)*0(54)=0(55)	100.0(0)
Compound 15	0.07(1)		
W(1) - C(11)	2.07(1)	O(1) - C(4)	1.44(1)
W(1) = C(12)	2.05(2)	C(1) = C(2)	1.45(2)
W(1) - C(13)	2.08(1)	C(2) = C(3)	1.42(2)
$W(1) \sim C(14)$	2.06(1)	C(3) = C(5)	1.52(2)
W(1) - C(15)	1.99(2)	C(11) - O(11)	1.12(1)
W(1) - C(1)	2.23(1)	C(12) = O(12)	1.15(1)
W(2) - C(1)	2.29(1)	C(13) = O(13)	1.11(1)
W(2) = C(2)	2.34(1)	C(14) - O(14)	1.12(1)
W(2) = C(3)	2.45(1)	C(15)-O(15)	1.17(2)
W(2) - C(21)	1.93(2)	C(21) - O(21)	1.18(2)
W(2) - C(22)	2.03(1)	C(22)–O(22)	1.13(1)
W(2) = C(23)	2.01(1)	C(23)–O(23)	1.14(1)
W(2)-C(24)	2.04(1)	C(24)–O(24)	1.13(1)
C(1)-O(1)	1.37(1)		
C(11)-W(1)-C(1)	76.5(4)	C(22)-W(2)-C(23)	80.8(5)
C(11)-W(1)-C(12)	93.0(4)	C(22)-W(2)-C(24)	164.5(4)
C(11)-W(1)-C(13)	91.0(4)	C(23)-W(2)-C(1)	128.1(4)
C(11)-W(1)-C(14)	163.8(5)	C(23)-W(2)-C(24)	85.2(5)
C(11)-W(1)-C(15)	81.7(5)	C(24)-W(2)-C(1)	116.1(4)
C(12)-W(1)-C(1)	97.9(5)	W(1)-C(1)-O(1)	110.2(8)

continued

TABLE 4 (continued)

$\overline{C(12)}-W(1)-C(13)$	176.0(4)	W(1)-C(1)-C(2)	132.9(8)
C(12)-W(1)-C(14)	88.8(5)	O(1)-C(1)-C(2)	115.6(11)
C(12) - W(1) - C(15)	86.8(5)	C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	120.3(12)
C(13)-W(1)-C(1)	83.4(4)	C(2) - C(3) - C(5)	116.7(12)
C(13)-W(1)-C(14)	87.3(5)	C(1) - O(1) - C(4)	122.7(10)
C(13)-W(1)-C(15)	93.4(5)	W(1)-C(11)-O(11)	176.0(12)
C(14)-W(1)-C(1)	119.2(5)	W(1)-C(12)-O(12)	175.9(12)
C(14)-W(1)-C(15)	82.4(5)	W(1)-C(13)-O(13)	176.6(11)
C(15)-W(1)-C(1)	157.9(5)	W(1) - C(14) - O(14)	172.4(12)
C(21)-W(2)-C(1)	130.9(5)	W(1)-C(15)-O(15)	176.5(13)
C(21)-W(2)-C(22)	84.5(5)	W(2)-C(21)-O(21)	177.9(11)
C(21)-W(2)-C(23)	93.0(5)	W(2) - C(22) - O(22)	175.7(11)
C(21)-W(2)-C(24)	89.6(5)	W(2)-C(23)-O(23)	178.9(13)
C(22) - W(2) - C(1)	78.2(4)	W(2) - C(24) - O(24)	174.1(10)
Compound II	2.05(2)	0(1) 0(1)	
W(1) = C(11)	2.05(2)	O(1) - C(4)	1.44(2)
W(1) - C(12)	2.06(2)	$\mathcal{L}(1) - \mathcal{L}(2)$	1.49(2)
W(1) - C(13)	2.02(2)	C(2) - C(3)	1.40(2)
W(1) - C(14)	2.02(2)	C(11) = O(11)	1.13(2)
W(1) = C(15)	2.03(2)	C(12) = O(12)	1.14(2)
W(1)-C(1)	2.22(2)	C(13) = O(13)	1.16(2)
W(2)-C(21)	2.03(2)	C(14) = O(14)	1.15(2)
W(2) - C(22)	2.04(2)	C(15)-O(15)	1.15(2)
W(2)-C(23)	2.08(2)	C(21) - O(21)	1.14(2)
W(2) - C(24)	2.06(2)	C(22) = O(22)	1.15(3)
W(2)-C(25)	1.98(1)	C(23) = O(23)	1.11(2)
W(2)-C(2)	2.43(1)	C(24)–O(24)	1.12(2)
W(2) - C(3)	2.40(1)	C(25)-O(25)	1.16(2)
C(1) - O(1)	1.28(2)		
C(11) - W(1) - C(1)	95,9(6)	C(22) - W(2) - C(24)	88.7(7)
C(11) - W(1) - C(12)	177.3(6)	C(22) - W(2) - C(25)	85.6(7)
C(11) - W(1) - C(13)	87.6(6)	C(23) - W(2) - C(24)	178.3(6)
C(11) - W(1) - C(14)	91.1(6)	C(23) - W(2) - C(25)	88.7(6)
C(11)-W(1)-C(15)	88.5(7)	C(24) - W(2) - C(25)	93.0(6)
C(12) - W(1) - C(1)	86.5(6)	W(1)-C(1)-O(1)	130.9(11)
C(12) - W(1) - C(13)	91.1(6)	W(1) - C(1) - C(2)	117.9(9)
C(12) - W(1) - C(14)	90.0(6)	O(1)-C(1)-C(2)	110.9(13)
C(12) - W(1) - C(15)	89.1(7)	C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	122.9(12)
C(13) - W(1) - C(1)	94,9(6)	C(1) = O(1) = C(4)	122.8(12)
C(13) - W(1) - C(14)	174.5(8)	W(1)-C(11)-O(11)	177.9(14)
C(13) = W(1) = C(15)	87.0(6)	W(1)-C(12)-O(12)	177.6(14)
C(14) - W(1) - C(1)	90.6(6)	W(1) - C(13) - O(13)	174.2(13)
C(14) - W(1) - C(15)	87.7(6)	W(1)-C(14)-O(14)	176.8(16)
C(15) - W(1) - C(1)	175.2(6)	W(1)-C(15)-O(15)	176.8(15)
C(21) - W(2) - C(22)	167.5(6)	W(2)-C(21)-O(21)	176.1(12)
C(21)-W(2)-C(23)	90.8(7)	W(2)-C(22)-O(22)	177.4(15)
C(21)-W(2)-C(24)	89.1(6)	W(2)-C(23)-O(23)	177.0(17)
C(21) - W(2) - C(25)	82.3(5)	W(2) = C(24) = O(24)	175.7(15)
C(22) - W(2) - C(23)	91.8(7)	W(2)-C(25)-O(25)	177.9(16)

¹H NMR (60 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.4 (1H, dd, J 14 and 22 Hz, CH=CH₂), 5.72 (1H, dd, J 22 and 2 Hz, CH=CHH *cis*), 5.25 (1H, dd, J 14 and 2 Hz, CH=CHH *trans*), 4.6 (3H, OCH₃). Further elution with light petroleum gave complex **11** (1.1 g, 15%), as dark purple crystals after recrystallization from hexane, m.p. 97°C; ¹H NMR (60 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 5.55 (1H, dd, J 14.2 and 8.2 Hz, CH=CH₂), 4.4 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.6 (2H, dd, J 14.2 and 8.2 Hz, CH=CH₂). ¹³C NMR 90 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 311.1 (carbene), 197.2 (CO), 194.7 (CO), 90.9 (CH=CH₂), 67.8 (OCH₃), 47.7 (CH=CH₂).

The corresponding ethoxycarbene complexes were prepared by the same procedure. Mass spectrum: m/e 732 (M^+) .

Pentacarbonyl(1-propenyl)methoxytungsten(0) (9, $R = CH_3$)

Formation of a Grignard reagent was started with Mg (2 g) in Et₂O (12 ml) and a few drops of allyl bromide, then W(CO)₆ (5.2 g) and more allyl bromide (4 g) in Et₂O (50 ml) were added and the mixture was stirred until the suspended W(CO)₆ disappeared. After evaporation of the solvent, followed by hydrolysis of the residue, Me₃OBF₄ (3 g) was added, and the mixture extracted with light petroleum. Passage of the extract through silica gel gave complex 9 ($\mathbf{R} = CH_3$) as a red oil (2.4 g, 40%).

¹H NMR (60 MHz, C_6D_6) δ (ppm): 7.3 (1H, d, J 20 Hz, C(2)H), 6.57 (1H, dq, J 20 and 9 Hz, C(3)H), 4.55 (3H, s, OCH₃), 1.82 (3H, d, J 9 Hz, CH₃).

Pentacarbonyl(1-propenyl)ethoxycarbenetungsten(0) was prepared by the same procedure.

¹³C NMR (90 MHz, C₆D₆) δ(ppm): 307.2 (carbene, 197.6 (CO), 149.4 (C(2)H), 136.8 (C(3)H₂), 78.9 (OCH₂), 18.4 (C-CH₃), 14.7 (CH₂CH₃).

μ -Alkylidene complex 13 (R = CH₃, CH₂CH₃)

Photochemical reaction. A solution of complex 9 (810 mg, 2 mmol) and W(CO)₆ (700 mg, 2 mmol) in hexane (100 ml) was irradiated with a water-cooled Philips lamp for 12 h at room temperature. Evaporation of the solvent followed by silica gel chromatography gave complex 13 (R = Et) (327 mg, 23%), m.p. 106°C.

¹³C NMR (R = OEt) δ (ppm): 231.1 (carbene), 197.1 (CO), 88.6 (CH=C), 82.0 (OCH₂), 68.4 (HC=CHCH₃), 20.0 (=CHCH₃), 14.6 (CH₂CH₃). Mass spectrum: m/e 718 (M^+).

Thermal reaction. A solution of complex 9 (R = Me) (1.428 g, 3.5 mmol) and complex 12 (3.4 g, 74 mmol) in hexane (50 ml) was heated at 40 °C for 17 h. TLC showed that no 12 remained in the solution. Silica gel chromatography, at 0 °C, gave first the starting carbene 9 (880 mg), then complex 13 (1.2 g, 49%) as black crystals.

¹H NMR (60 MHz, C_6D_6) δ (ppm): 5.05 (1H, d, J 12 Hz, CH=C), 3.15 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.05 (1H, m, CH=CH-CH₃), 1.75 (3H, d, J 8 Hz, =CH-CH₃).

 μ -Alkylidene complex 14. Complex 10 (R = Me) (0.2 g, 0.5 mmol) was heated in the presence of complex 2 (0.5 g, 1 mmol) in hexane (50 ml) for 14 h. After evaporation of the solvent at room temperature, the residue was chromatographed through silica gel, at -15° C. Elution with light petroleum first gave complex 14 (60 mg).

¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , 60 MHz) δ (ppm): 4.92 (1H, dd, J 12 and 10 Hz, CH=CH₂), 3.20 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.10 (1H, dd, J 10 and 2 Hz, CH=CHH), 2.05 (1H, dd, J 12 and 2 Hz, CH=CHH), m/e 718 (M^+). Complex 15 (65 mg) was next eluted and isolated as a yellow oil. IR (CHCl₃): ν (CO) 2080, 2050, 1980, 1970, 1940 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, C₆D₆) δ (ppm): 7.28 (10H, m, aromatic) 4.33 (1H, dd, J 7.4 and 5.8 Hz, CH=CH₂), 2.64 (1H, dd, J 5.8 and 4.4 Hz), 1.88 (1H, dd, J 7.4 and 4.4 Hz). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆) δ (ppm): 197.5 (CO), 144.2 (=COR), 139.5 (Ph₂C=), 130.9, 128.8, 128.1, 127.2, 127.0 (aromatic), 68.1 (OCH₃), 59.4 (HC=C), 2.7.7 (CH₂=C). Mass spectrum *m/e* 690 (*M*⁺). Finally complex 11 (25 mg) was eluted, and isolated as black crystals, and identified by comparison with an authentic sample.

References

- 1 W.A. Herrmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 17 (1978) 800.
- 2 W.A. Herrmann, in F.G.A. Stone and R. West (Eds.), Advances in Organometallic Chemistry, Vol. 20, Academic Press, New-York, 1982, p 159.
- 3 M.F. Lappert, J. Holton, R. Pearce and P.I.W. Yarrow, Chem. Rev., 83 (1983) 135.
- 4 J.L. Hahn, in J. Lippard (Ed.), Progress in Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 31, J Wiley, New-York, 1984, p. 205.
- 5 F.G.A. Stone, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 23 (1984) 89.
- 6 R. Hoffmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 21 (1982) 711.
- 7 J. Levisalles, H. Rudler, F. Dahan and Y. Jeannin, J. Organomet. Chem., 188 (1980) 193.
- 8 J. Levisalles, H. Rudler, Y. Jeannin, and F. Dahan, J. Organomet. Chem., 178 (1979) C8.
- 9 H. Rudler, J. Mol. Cat., 8 (1980) 53.
- 10 A. Parlier, F. Rose, M. Rudler and H. Rudler, J. Organomet. Chem., 235 (1982) C13.
- 11 J.W. Wilson and E.O. Fischer, J. Organomet. Chem., 57 (1973) C63.
- 12 M. Rudler and H. Rudler, J. Organomet. Chem., 212 (1981) 203.
- 13 Unpublished observation.
- 14 E.A. Carter and W.A. Goddard III, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108 (1986) 4746.
- 15 H. Fischer, S. Zeuner and K. Ackermann, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1984) 684.
- 16 H. Berke, P. Härter and G. Huttner, Chem. Ber., 115 (1982) 695.
- 17 A.C.T. North, D.C. Phillips and F.S. Mathews, Acta Cryst., A, 24 (1968) 351.
- 18 D.J. Wehe, W.R. Busing and H.A. Levy Orabs, Report ORNL-TM-229 Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, 1962.
- 19 B. Carruthers and D.W.J. Watkin, Crystals and advanced crystallographic program system, Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, University of Oxford, England, 1985.
- 20 G.M. Sheldrick, System of Crystallographic Computer Programs, University of Cambridge, England, 1976.
- 21 International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1974, Vol. 4.